

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

RYAN FOSMO,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF ELKO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00468-MMD-VPC

ORDER ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING  
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
VALERIE P. COOKE

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke (dkt. no. 38) ("R&R") relating to Defendant David Goff's ("Goff") Motion to Quash Service And-Or Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. nos. 21, 22.) Goff had until July 11, 2015, to object to the R&R. No objection has been filed.

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard


1 of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to  
2 which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219,  
3 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the  
4 view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an  
5 objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then  
6 the court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g., Johnstone*, 263 F.  
7 Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to  
8 which no objection was filed).

9 Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to  
10 determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Cooke's R&R. The Magistrate Judge  
11 recommended that Goff's Motion to Quash Service and-or Motion to Dismiss (dkt. nos.  
12 21, 22) be denied. Upon reviewing the R&R and the records before the Court, this Court  
13 finds good cause to adopt the Magistrate Judge's R&R in full.

14 It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and  
15 Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke (dkt. no. 38) is accepted and  
16 adopted in its entirety.

17 It is ordered that Defendant David Goff's Motion to Quash Service and-or Motion  
18 to Dismiss (dkt. nos. 21, 22) is denied.

19 DATED THIS 15<sup>th</sup> day of July 2015.

20   
21 \_\_\_\_\_  
22 MIRANDA M. DU  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28